PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

Translation

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference K 61 863/7ch		FOR FURTHER AC	TION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
		International filing date	(day/month/vear)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/EP2004/006066		04.06.2004		12.06.2003		
				12.00.2003		
International Patent Classification (International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC					
Applicant GIESECKE & DEVRIENT GMBH						
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of	12	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.		
3. This report is also accomp	anied by AN	NNEXES, comprising:				
a. (sent to the ap	plicant and t	to the International Bur	eau) a total of	shects, as follows:		
sheets o	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative					
	Instructions).					
the disc	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental					
Box.						
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s))						
		<u> </u>		_, containing a sequence listing and/or tables		
related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This report contains indic	ations relatir	ng to the following item	s:			
Box No. I	Basis of the	report				
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with			egard to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention		y of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;		
Box No. VI	Certain docu	uments cited				
Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international	application			
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report						
			•	-		
Name and mailing address of the I	Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP					
Facsimile No.			Telephone No			

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006066

Box	No. I	Basis of the report		
1.	With indic	regard to the language, this report is based on the internation ated under this item.	nal application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
		This report is based on translations from the original language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purports.		·
		international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4))	
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	or 55.3)	l.
2.	recei	regard to the elements of the international application, this ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are eport): the international application as originally filed/furnished		
	\boxtimes	the description:		
				as originally filed/furnished
		pages* 4		09.08.2005 with letter
		pages*	received by this Authority on	
	\boxtimes	the claims:		
		nos.		as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*	as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.* 1-43	received by this Authority on	09.08.2005 with letter of 09.08.2005
		nos.*		
	\boxtimes	the drawings:		
		sheets 1/3-3/3		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*		
		sheets*		
	\Box	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplem		isting
3.	$\overline{\Box}$	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	onal Box relating to obtaine 2	isung.
٥.	ш			
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
4.		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): This report has been established as if (some of) the amend	Imente appayed to this report and	Listed below had not been made since
7.	Ш	they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as file		
		the description, pages		
		the claims, nos.		
		the drawings, sheets/figs		
		the sequence listing (specify):		
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	·-	
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "sup	erseded."	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006066

Box	No. V			cle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; orting such statement	
1.	Statement	•			
	Novelty (N)	Claims _	3-14, 18-43	_ YES
			Claims _	1-2, 15-17	_ NO
	Inventive	step (IS)	Claims		YES
				1-43	_ NO
	Industrial	applicability (IA)	Claims	1-43	YES
			Claims _		_ NO
2.	Citations	Levalanations (Dula	70.70		
^{∠.}		This rop		too reference to the fallowing	
	1	_		tes reference to the following	
		document	s:		
		n1. En	7_0 OF4	1 451 (CIECECVE (DEVIDIENT CMDU)	
				451 (GIESECKE & DEVRIENT GMBH)	
			_	998 (1998-07-22)	
				056 A (NEDAP NV) 20 July 1989	
			89-07-2 01/4309		
				32 A (OHYA TOITSU; FUJITA MASANOBU	
				VA HIKARU (JP); NIPPON KINSEN K) 001 (2001-06-14)	
				265 A (GIESECKE & DEVRIENT GMBH)	
				2003 (2003-04-17)	
			-	2 048 (BANQUE DE FRANCE) 27 February	
				2-02-27)	
		200	Z (ZUUZ	2-02-21)	
	2	INDEPEND	DNT CT7	ATM 1	
	۷	TNDELEND	ENI CLA	JIN I	
	2.1	The pres	ent apr	olication does not meet the	
		-		f PCT Article 33(1) because the	
		_		of the claim lacks novelty (PCT	
		Article			
		ATCICIE.	55 (2))	•	
		Document	D1 die	scloses (column 3, line 53 -	
L		Document	DI GIS	SCIOSCS (COLUMN 3, IIIIC 33	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

column 4, line 25) a value document (1) comprising a machine-readable authenticity mark (5). The authenticity mark comprises a first, luminescent, marking substance (4) and a second marking substance (5), which is not or is only slightly reflective or emissive in the wavelength range of the luminescent radiation of the marking substance (4).

The luminescent marking substance (4) is thus emissive in the absorption range of the absorbing marking substance (5).

The luminescent marking substance (4) is emissive in the visible or non-visible spectral range (column 4, lines 37-41), that is in the ultraviolet, visible or infrared ranges.

Document D1 therefore implicitly discloses an embodiment in which the luminescent marking substance (4) is emissive in the infrared wavelength range and the absorbing marking substance (5) is absorptive in the infrared wavelength range.

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from the value document in D1 by virtue of the wording alone and not by virtue of the technical teaching of its disclosure.

The optional features in claim 1 do not restrict the scope of protection.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The subject matter of claim 1 thus lacks novelty.

2.2 Even if the selection of the infrared spectral range from the ultraviolet to the infrared spectral range were considered novel, to a person skilled in the art this would concern only one of several obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances in order to make the authenticity mark forgery-proof, without thereby being inventive.

The subject matter of claim 1 would therefore appear not to involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

- 2.3 The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of the claim lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)). Document D2 discloses the subject matter of claim 1 (column 1, lines 1-17; column 2, lines 2-4, lines 34-39).
- 3 DEPENDENT CLAIM 2
- 3.1 The subject matter of claim 2 is disclosed in document D1; see point 2.1. The subject matter of claim 2 therefore lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)).
- 3.2 The subject matter of claim 2 is disclosed in document D2; see point 2.3. The subject matter of

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

claim 2 therefore lacks novelty (PCT Article
33(2)).

4 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 3-9

The subject matter of claims 3 to 9 concerns the properties of the luminescent marking substance and of the infrared-absorbing marking substance. A person skilled in the art would select such substances from those available according to the circumstances and without thereby being inventive.

Documents D4 and D5 provide examples of such marking substances.

Document D5 discloses, for example, a marking substance that comprises a host lattice which is doped with rare earth metal, can be excited at 800 nm and emits at 1054 nm (column 8, § 59).

Document D4 discloses, for example, an infrared-absorbing marking substance that is colourless, shows no significant absorption at 800 nm and absorbs at more than 1000 nm (see the abstract).

Claims 3 to 9 therefore do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

PCT/EP2004/006066

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

5 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 15-17

Document D1 indicates that the luminescent marking substance and the infrared-absorbing marking substance lie in mutually overlapping areas of the value document (column 4, lines 15 and 16).

Document D1 indicates that the arrangement of the infrared-absorbing marking substance represents coded information (column 4, lines 19-25).

Claims 15-17 therefore do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

6 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 18-20

The subject matter of claims 18-20 concerns a printed layer.

6.1 The use of a printed layer to cover an infrared-absorbing marking substance is common in the technical field concerned; see, for example, document D3 (page 7, line 29 - page 8, line 2), which uses a printed layer that is opaque in the visible spectral range and is transparent in the infrared spectral range.

Claims 18-19 therefore do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

6.2 The use of a plurality of absorbing marking substances that have different absorption properties is common in the technical field concerned; see, for example, document D4 (the abstract).

Consequently, the use of a printed layer which is opaque in the emission range of the luminescent marking substance is only one of several obvious possibilities from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances in order to form an absorbing authenticity mark, without thereby being inventive. Claim 20 therefore does not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it refers, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

7 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 11-14, 21-23

Claims 11-14 and 21-23 concern details of implementation and do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty (PCT Article 33(2)) and inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

8 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 24, 27

Claims 24 and 27 do not contain any features which meet the PCT requirements in respect of inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

Claims 24 and 27 concern an authenticity mark which is contained in a security element and in a security paper, rather than in a value document as in claims 1 to 23.

The objections raised against the authenticity mark as per claims 1 to 23 therefore also apply to claims 24 and 27.

It is obvious to a person skilled in the art that the authenticity mark as per claims 1 to 23 can also be used in the alternative embodiments mentioned above.

A person skilled in the art would select those embodiments without thereby being inventive.

Consequently, the subject matter of claims 24 and 27 does not involve an inventive step.

9 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 25-26

Claims 25-26 concern details of implementation and do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty (PCT

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Article 33(2)) and inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

10 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 28, 32, 34

Claims 28, 32 and 34 do not contain any features which meet the PCT requirements for inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

The subject matter of claims 28, 32 and 34 concerns a test method.

In order to develop a test method for testing the authenticity mark according to claims 1 to 24, a person skilled in the art would have to make use of the following steps:

- irradiation using infrared radiation in the absorbable wavelength or excitation wavelength;
- determining the reflected/emitted radiation
 and/or transmitted radiation;
- evaluating authenticity based on measurement.

A person skilled in the art would, according to the circumstances, select a suitable test method from these possibilities without thereby being inventive.

The subject matter of claims 28, 32 and 34 therefore fails to involve an inventive step.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

11 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 29-31, 33, 35-39

Claims 29-31, 33 and 35-39 concern details of implementation and do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

12 INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 40-42

The features of claims 40-42 match the features of claims 28-39, the former being expressed using device terminology and the latter using method terminology.

The objections raised against claims 28-39 therefore also apply to claims 40-42.

Claims 40-42 therefore do not contain any features which meet the PCT requirements for inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

13 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 43

The subject matter of claim 43 concerns embodiments which contain the test device according to claims 40-42.

A person skilled in the art would consider it common practice to use the test device in those embodiments in order to test value documents.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006066

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Claim 43 therefore fails to involve an inventive
	step (PCT Article 33(3)).
ł	
i	